

Note on distortion and Bourgain ℓ_1 -index

ANNA MARIA PELCZAR

ABSTRACT. The relation between different notions measuring proximity to ℓ_1 and distortability of a Banach space is studied. The main result states that a Banach space, whose all subspaces have Bourgain ℓ_1 -index greater than ω^α , $\alpha < \omega_1$, contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or an ℓ_1^α -asymptotic subspace.

1. PRELIMINARIES

The study of asymptotic properties and in particular complexity of the family of copies of ℓ_1^n in Banach spaces is closely related to investigating their distortability, cf. [17, 16, 19]. The investigation of arbitrary distortion of Banach spaces is concentrated mainly on ℓ_1 -asymptotic spaces. The first tool measuring the way ℓ_1 is represented in a Banach space is provided by Bourgain ℓ_1 -index. Another approach is given by higher order spreading models, studied extensively in mixed and modified mixed Tsirelson spaces. The ℓ_1 -asymptoticity of higher order of a Banach space can be measured by constants introduced in [19].

We present here an observation, in the spirit of the theorem of [17] recalled below, relating distortability of a Banach space to the "proximity" to ℓ_1 measured by the tools presented above.

Theorem 1.1. [17] *Let X be a Banach space. Then X contains either an arbitrarily distortable subspace or an ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) or c_0 -asymptotic subspace.*

Our main result states that a Banach space with a basis, whose all block subspaces have Bourgain ℓ_1 -block index greater than ω^α , contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or a ℓ_1^α -asymptotic subspace. In particular a space saturated with ℓ_1^α -spreading models generated by block sequences contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or an ℓ_1^α -asymptotic subspace. We obtain as a corollary the theorem presented in [15]. Analogous results hold also in c_0 case. As a corollary we obtain that the "stabilized" (with respect to block subspaces) Bourgain ℓ_1 -block index of a space with bounded distortion not containing ℓ_1 is of the form ω^{ω^γ} for some non-limit $\gamma < \omega_1$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 46B20, Secondary 46B03

Key words and phrases: distortion, Bourgain ℓ_1 -index, ℓ_1 -asymptotic space.

We recall now the basic definitions and standard notation. Let X be a Banach space with a basis (e_i) . A *support* of a vector $x = \sum_i x_i e_i$ is the set $\text{supp } x = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : x_i \neq 0\}$. We shall use also *interval support* of a vector $x \in X$ - the smallest interval in $\mathbb{N}$ containing support of x and denote it by $\overline{\text{supp}} x$.

Given any $x = \sum_i x_i e_i$ and finite $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ put $Ex = \sum_{i \in E} x_i e_i$. We write $x < y$ for vectors $x, y \in X$, if $\max(\text{supp } x) < \min(\text{supp } y)$. A *block sequence* is any sequence $(x_i) \subset X$ satisfying $x_1 < x_2 < \dots$, a *block subspace* of X - any closed subspace spanned by an infinite block sequence. If Y is a block subspace of X spanned by a block sequence (y_i) then Y_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denotes the "tail" subspace spanned by $(y_i)_{i \geq n}$ and EY , $E \subset \mathbb{N}$, denotes the subspace spanned by $(y_i)_{i \in E}$.

A basic sequence $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ in a Banach space is *K-equivalent* to the unit vector basis of k -dimensional space ℓ_1 (resp. c_0), for some $K \geq 1$, if for any scalars $a_1, \dots, a_k$ we have $K\|a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k\| \geq |a_1| + \dots + |a_k|$ (resp. $\|a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k\| \leq K \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_k|\}$).

Definition 1.2. A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is λ -*distortable*, for $\lambda > 1$, if there is an equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ on X such that for any infinite dimensional subspace Y of X

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{|x|}{|y|} : x, y \in Y, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1 \right\} \geq \lambda$$

A Banach space X is *arbitrary distortable*, if it is λ -distortable for any $\lambda > 1$.

A Banach space X has *D-bounded distortion*, if for any equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ and any infinite dimensional subspace Y of X there is a further infinite dimensional subspace Z of Y such that $|x|/\|x\| \leq D|y|/\|y\|$ for any non-zero $x, y \in Z$. A Banach space has *bounded distortion* if it has D -bounded distortion for some $D \geq 1$.

Notice that any Banach space X contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or a subspace with bounded distortion.

Given any $M \subset \mathbb{N}$ by $[M]^{<\infty}$ denote the family of finite subsets of M . A family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\mathbb{N}]^{<\infty}$ is *regular*, if it is *hereditary*, i.e. for any $G \subset F$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$ also $G \in \mathcal{F}$, *spreading*, i.e. for any integers $n_1 < \dots < n_k$ and $m_1 < \dots < m_k$ with $n_i \leq m_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, if $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{F}$ then also $(m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathcal{F}$, and *compact* in the product topology of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. If $\mathcal{F} \subset [\mathbb{N}]^{<\infty}$ is compact, let $\mathcal{F}'$ denote the set of limit points of $\mathcal{F}$. Define inductively families $\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)}$, α ordinal, by putting $\mathcal{F}^{(0)} = \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha+1)} = (\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)})'$

for any α ordinal and $\mathcal{F}^\alpha = \bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} \mathcal{F}^{(\xi)}$ for any α limit ordinal. Define index $\iota(\mathcal{F}) = \inf\{\alpha : \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha+1)} = \emptyset\}$.

A *tree on a set S* is a subset of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n$ such that $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{T}$ whenever $(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{T}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A tree $\mathcal{T}$ is *well-founded*, if there is no infinite sequence $(x_i) \subset S$ with $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{T}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a tree $\mathcal{T}$ on S put

$$D(\mathcal{T}) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) : (x_1, \dots, x_k, x) \in \mathcal{T} \text{ for some } x \in S\}$$

Inductively define trees $D^\alpha(\mathcal{T})$: $D^0(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}$, $D^{\alpha+1} = D(D^\alpha(\mathcal{T}))$ for α ordinal and $D^\alpha(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} D^\xi(\mathcal{T})$ for α limit ordinal. The *order* of a well-founded tree $\mathcal{T}$ is given by $o(\mathcal{T}) = \inf\{\alpha : D^\alpha(\mathcal{T}) = \emptyset\}$.

Let X be a Banach space with a basis. A tree $\mathcal{T}$ on X is an ℓ_1 - K -*block tree on X* , $K \geq 1$, if any $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{T}$ is a normalized block sequence K -equivalent to the unit vector basis of k -dimensional space ℓ_1 . An ℓ_1 -block tree on X is an ℓ_1 - K -block tree on X for some $K \geq 1$.

Let $I_b(X, K) = \sup\{o(\mathcal{T}) : \mathcal{T} \text{ is a } \ell_1\text{-}K\text{-block tree on } X\}$, $K \geq 1$. The *Bourgain ℓ_1 -block index of X* is defined by $I_b(X) = \sup\{I_b(X, K) : K \geq 1\}$.

Theorem 1.3. [10] *Let X be a Banach space with a basis not containing ℓ_1 . Then $I_b(X) = \omega^\alpha$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $I_b(X) > I_b(X, K)$ for any $K \geq 1$.*

Remark 1.4. Recall the close relation ([10]) between $I_b(X)$ and $I(X)$ - the original Bourgain ℓ_1 -index defined as block index but by trees of not necessarily block sequences: for $I(X) \geq \omega^\omega$ we have $I_b(X) = I(X)$, if $I(X) = \omega^{n+1}$, then $I_b(X) = \omega^{n+1}$ or ω^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The *generalized Schreier families* $(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, introduced in [1], are defined by the transfinite induction:

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{\{n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

Suppose the families $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ are defined for all $\xi < \alpha$. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$, put

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \{F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_m : m \in \mathbb{N}, F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{S}_\beta, m \leq F_1 < \dots < F_m\}$$

If α is a limit ordinal, choose $\alpha_n \nearrow \alpha$ and set

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \{F : F \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha_n} \text{ and } n \leq F \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

It is well known that any family $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$, $\alpha < \omega_1$, is regular with $\iota(\mathcal{S}_\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$, considered as a tree on $\mathbb{N}$ satisfies $o(\mathcal{S}_\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$, cf. [1].

Fix $\alpha < \omega_1$. A finite sequence (E_i) of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ is α -*admissible* (resp. α -*allowable*), if $E_1 < E_2 < \dots$ (resp. (E_i) pairwise disjoint) and $(\min E_i) \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha$.

Let X be a Banach space with a basis (e_n) , fix $\alpha < \omega_1$. A finite sequence $(x_i) \subset X$ is α -admissible (resp. α -allowable) with respect to the basis (e_n) , if $(\text{supp } x_i)$ is α -admissible (resp. α -allowable).

Definition 1.5. Fix $\alpha < \omega_1$. Let X be a Banach space with a basis (e_n) .

A normalized block sequence $(x_i) \subset X$ generates an ℓ_1^α -spreading model with constant $C \geq 1$, if for any $F \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in F}$ is C -equivalent to the unit vector basis of $\sharp F$ -dimensional space ℓ_1 .

The space X is ℓ_1^α -asymptotic (resp. ℓ_1^α -strongly asymptotic) with constant $C \geq 1$, if for any α -admissible (resp. α -allowable) w.r.t. (e_n) sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^k$ is C -equivalent to the unit vector basis of k -dimensional space ℓ_1 .

Obviously X is ℓ_1^α -asymptotic with constant C iff all normalized block sequences in X generate ℓ_1^α -spreading model with constant C . By the properties of $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$'s, any block subspace of an ℓ_1^α -asymptotic (resp. strongly asymptotic) space with constant C is also ℓ_1^α -asymptotic (resp. strongly asymptotic) with the same constant. The relations between Bourgain ℓ_1 -block index and notions introduced above are described by

Proposition 1.6. Let X be a Banach space with a basis. Fix $\alpha < \omega_1$.

If X admits an ℓ_1^α -spreading model, then $I_b(X) > \omega^\alpha$.

If X is an ℓ_1^α -asymptotic space, then $I_b(X) \geq \omega^{\alpha\omega}$.

Proof. The first part follows from Theorem 1.3 and the fact that $o(\mathcal{S}_\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$. The second part follows from the proof of Theorem 5.19, [10]. We recall it briefly. For any $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \subset [\mathbb{N}]^{<\omega}$ put

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{N}] = \{F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_k : F_1, \dots, F_k \in \mathcal{N}, m_1 \leq F_1 < \dots < m_k \leq F_k \text{ for some } (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathcal{M}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Put $[\mathcal{S}_\alpha]^n = \mathcal{S}_\alpha[\dots[\mathcal{S}_\alpha]]$ (n times). If X is ℓ_1^α -asymptotic with constant C , then any normalized block sequence $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ with $(\min(\text{supp } x_i)) \in [\mathcal{S}_\alpha]^n$ is C^n -equivalent to the unit vector basis of k -dimensional space ℓ_1 . Since $o([\mathcal{S}_\alpha]^n) = \omega^{\alpha n}$, [1], therefore $I_b(X) > \omega^{\alpha n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which ends the proof. $\square$

Remark 1.7. The Definition 1.5 extends the well-known notions of ℓ_1 -asymptotic space (introduced in [17]) and spreading model generated by a basic sequence. The higher order ℓ_1 -spreading models were introduced in [11] and investigated in particular in [4, 14, 15]. The constants describing ℓ_1 -asymptoticity of higher order were introduced and studied in [19]. The term ℓ_1 -asymptoticity of higher order was explicitly introduced in [9], where also a criterium for arbitrary distortion in terms of ℓ_1 -spreading models was given. The ℓ_p -strongly asymptotic spaces were introduced and studied in

[6]. Bourgain ℓ_1 -index and ℓ_1 -block index of various spaces in relation to existence of higher order spreading models, distortability and quasiminimality were investigated in particular in [10, 12, 13, 14].

We shall need additional norms given by the ℓ_1 -asymptoticity of the space:

Definition 1.8. Let U be a Banach space with a basis. Fix $\alpha < \omega_1$. If U is ℓ_1^α -asymptotic with constant C , define an associated norm $|\cdot|_\alpha$ on U by

$$|x|_\alpha = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \|E_i x\| : E_1 < \cdots < E_k \text{ } \alpha\text{-admissible, } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \quad x \in U$$

If U is ℓ_1^α -strongly asymptotic, in the definition of associated norm we use allowable sequences instead of admissible ones. Clearly $\|\cdot\| \leq |\cdot|_\alpha \leq C\|\cdot\|$.

Simpler versions of these norms were used to show arbitrary distortion of the famous Schlumprecht space, the first Banach space known to be arbitrary distortable, these norms also distort some mixed and modified mixed Tsirelson spaces [3, 4, 14].

2. MAIN RESULT

Now we present the main result, which shows that we can reverse the implication in Prop. 1.6 in spaces with bounded distortion.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space with a basis. Fix $\alpha < \omega_1$. Assume that $I_b(Y) > \omega^\alpha$ for any block subspace Y of X . Then X contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or an ℓ_1^α -asymptotic subspace.*

If, additionally, X is ℓ_1^1 -strongly asymptotic, then X contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or an ℓ_1^α -strongly asymptotic subspace.

By Prop. 1.6 a Banach space admitting in any block subspace ℓ_1^α -spreading models generated by normalized block sequences satisfies the assumptions of the Theorem 2.1. We have also the following Corollary, implying Theorem 2.1, [15]:

Corollary 2.2. *Fix $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$. Let a Banach space X with a basis admit for any $\xi < \alpha$ in every block subspace ℓ_1^ξ -spreading model generated by a normalized block sequence with a universal constant $C \geq 1$. Then X contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or an ℓ_1^α -asymptotic subspace.*

If, additionally, X is ℓ_1^1 -strongly asymptotic, then X contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or an ℓ_1^α -strongly asymptotic subspace.

Proof. Assume X has no arbitrary distortable subspaces. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some $\beta < \omega_1$, then by Theorem 2.1, there is a ℓ_1^β -asymptotic subspace W with some constant $C \geq 1$. By Prop. 3.2 [19] there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$F \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$ for any $n_0 \leq F \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Thus W_{n_0} is also ℓ_1^1 -asymptotic with constant C , and therefore also ℓ_1^α -asymptotic (with constant C^2).

If α is a limit ordinal, then by assumption $I_b(Y) > I_b(Y, C) \geq \omega^\alpha$ for any block subspace Y of X , and Theorem 2.1 ends the proof. The case of ℓ_1 -strong asymptoticity follows analogously. $\square$

Remark 2.3. By Lemma 6.5, [10], (Remark 6.6 (iii)) the universal constant C (arbitrarily close to 1) in the assumption of the Corollary 2.2 is automatic for $\alpha = \omega^\gamma$, with γ limit ordinal.

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a Banach space of bounded distortion with a basis, not containing ℓ_1 . If $I_b(Y) = I_b(X)$ for any block subspace Y of X , then $I_b(X) = \omega^{\omega^\gamma}$ for some non-limit $\gamma < \omega_1$.*

Proof. Let $I_b(X) = \omega^\alpha$. For any $\beta < \alpha$, by Theorem 2.1, X has a ℓ_1^β -asymptotic subspace, thus by Prop. 1.6, $I_b(X) > \omega^{\beta^2}$. Hence for any $\beta < \alpha$ also $\beta^2 < \alpha$, thus $\alpha = \omega^\gamma$ for some $\gamma < \omega_1$. By Remark 5.15 (iii), [10], γ is not a limit ordinal. $\square$

Remark 2.5. Observe that any Banach space X has a block subspace Y with $I_b(Z) = I_b(Y)$, for any block subspace Z of Y . Indeed, either X contains ℓ_1 , or $I_b(X) < \omega_1$ ([5]) and we can use standard diagonalization.

Remark 2.6. We collect some known examples:

- (i) $I_b(X) > \omega$ iff 1 belongs to Krivine set of X , i.e. ℓ_1 is finitely (almost isometrically) represented on block sequences in X .
- (ii) For any $\alpha < \omega_1$ by Theorem 5.19, [10], and Prop. 1.6, any block subspace Y of the Tsirelson type space $T(\mathcal{S}_\alpha, 1/2)$ (which is clearly ℓ_1^α -asymptotic) satisfies $I_b(Y) = \omega^{\alpha\omega}$, thus $I_b(X)$ is of the form $\omega^{\omega^{\gamma+1}}$.
- (iii) By Theorem 4.2, [2], mixed Tsirelson space $X = T[(\mathcal{S}_n, \theta_n)_n, \theta_n \searrow 0]$ contains no ℓ_1^ω -asymptotic subspace. On the other hand it was shown in [14] that $I_b(Y) > \omega^\omega$ for any block subspace Y of X iff any block subspace Y admits ℓ_1^ω -spreading model. In such a case X is arbitrary distortable. It holds in particular if $\lim \sqrt[n]{\theta_n} = 1$.
- (iv) In [13] Bourgain ℓ_1 -block index of mixed Tsirelson spaces was computed, as a consequence it is proved that for any α not of the form ω^γ , γ limit ordinal, there is a Banach space X_α with $I_b(X_\alpha) = \omega^\alpha$. In particular it was proved that (with a special choice of sequences in definition of Schreier families) $I_b(T(\mathcal{S}_{\beta_n}, \theta_n)_n)$ is either $\omega^{\omega^{\xi 2}}$ or ω^{ω^ξ} , where $\beta_n \nearrow \omega^\xi$, $\xi < \omega_1$ successor.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We can assume that X has a bimonotone basis. Assume X contains no arbitrary distortable subspaces, and pick a block subspace Y of X with D -bounded distortion, for some $D \geq 1$. We restrict our consideration to Y and use the transfinite induction.

Idea of the proof of the first inductive step and limit case of the second inductive step (the successor case is trivial) could be described as follows: we consider equivalent norms, whose uniform equivalence to the original norm would give asymptoticity of desired order. We "glue" the norms on some special vectors provided by high ℓ_1 -index of the space (Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8), using methods standard now in the study of Tsirelson type spaces, and by the bounded distortion of a space we obtain a uniform equivalence of these norms to the original one on some subspace.

FIRST INDUCTIVE STEP

The result for $\alpha = 1$ follows from Theorem 1.1, but we present here a shorter proof, whose idea was used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 given in [16], and whose scheme will serve also in the next step. Define new equivalent norms on Y as follows:

$$\|y\|_n = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j y\| : E_1 < \dots < E_n \text{ intervals} \right\}, \quad y \in Y, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

We recall a standard observation providing vectors "gluing" the original norm and the new norms:

Lemma 2.7. *Let U be a Banach space with a bimonotone basis. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $I_b(U) > \omega$. Then there is a vector $x \in U$ with $1/2 \leq \|x\| \leq \|x\|_n \leq 2$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.7. By Remark 2.6 (i) take a normalized block sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^{n^2} \subset U$ which is 2-equivalent to the unit vector basis of n^2 -dimensional ℓ_1 and put $x = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} x_i$. Obviously $\|x\| \geq 1/2$. Take any $E_1 < \dots < E_n$ and put

$$I = \{i : \overline{\text{supp}} x_i \text{ intersects } E_j \text{ and } E_{j+1} \text{ for some } j\}$$

Since $\#I \leq n$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \in I} x_i\| \leq n \|\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \in I} x_i\| \leq 1$$

We can assume that $(E_j)_{j=1}^n$ is a blocking of $(\overline{\text{supp}} x_i)_{i \notin I}$ and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i \notin I} x_i\| \leq 1$$

which ends the proof of the Lemma.

Now we finish the proof of the first inductive step. Applying bounded distortability of Y and standard diagonalization pick a block subspace Z of Y such that $\|y\|_n/\|y\| \leq D\|z\|_n/\|z\|$ for any non-zero $y, z \in Z_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and take $x \in Z_n$ as in the Lemma 2.7. By the choice of Z for any $y \in Z_n$ we have $\|y\|_n \leq 4D\|y\|$. By definition of norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ it follows that

$$\|E_1 y\| + \cdots + \|E_n y\| \leq 4D\|y\|$$

for any $y \in Z$ and $n \leq E_1 < \cdots < E_n$ intervals, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which shows that Z is ℓ_1^1 -asymptotic.

SECOND INDUCTIVE STEP

Take $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$ and assume now that the theorem holds true for all $\xi < \alpha$. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some $\beta < \omega_1$ then by inductive hypothesis there is a block subspace W of Y which is ℓ_1^β -asymptotic, and hence ℓ_1^α -asymptotic.

If α is a limit ordinal pick $(\alpha_n)_n$ with $\alpha_n \nearrow \alpha$ used in the definition of $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$. By the inductive hypothesis we can pick a subspace W of Y such that W is $\ell_1^{\alpha_n}$ -asymptotic with some constant $C_n \geq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $|\cdot|_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the norm given by $\ell_1^{\alpha_n}$ -asymptoticity of W (Def. 1.8). As before we will use some special vectors in order to "glue" the original norm and the new norms. Those vectors - so called special convex combination, introduced in [3] - form the crucial tool in studying properties in particular of mixed and modified mixed Tsirelson spaces. In order to construct the vectors on ℓ_1 - K -block trees we will slightly generalize the reasoning from Lemma 4, [12] (cf. also Lemma 4.9, [4]).

Lemma 2.8. *Let U be a Banach space with a basis. Fix $\eta < \xi < \omega_1$ and assume that U is ℓ_1^η -asymptotic with a constant C_1 , ℓ_1^η -asymptotic and $I_b(U, K) > \omega^\xi$ for some $K \geq 1$. Then there is a vector $x \in U$ satisfying $1/K \leq \|x\| \leq |x|_\eta \leq 2C_1$.*

The important part of the Lemma is the fact that the estimates of the norms in the assertion do not depend on the ℓ_1^η -asymptoticity constant.

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Let U be ℓ_1^η -asymptotic with constant C_2 . Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a ℓ_1 - K -block tree on U with $o(\mathcal{T}) > \omega^\xi$. We can assume that for any $(x_i) \in \mathcal{T}$ also any subsequence $(x_{i_m}) \in \mathcal{T}$. Put

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ (m_1, \dots, m_l) \subset \mathbb{N} : m_i \geq \max(\text{supp } x_i), 1 \leq i \leq l, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } (x_1, \dots, x_l) \in \mathcal{T} \right\}$$

The family $\mathcal{F}$ is hereditary and either non-compact or, by Prop. 13, [13], compact with $\iota(\mathcal{F}) \geq o(\mathcal{T}) > \omega^\xi = \iota(\mathcal{S}_\xi)$. Hence by Theorem 1.1, [7], there is an infinite $M \subset \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\mathcal{S}_\xi \cap [M]^{<\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}$$

Using Prop. 3.6, [19], we get $F \in \mathcal{S}_\xi \cap [M]^{<\infty}$ and positive scalars $(a_m)_{m \in F}$ such that $\sum_{m \in F} a_m = 1$ and $\sum_{m \in G} a_m < 1/C_2$ for any $G \in \mathcal{S}_\eta$ with $G \subset F$. By definition of $\mathcal{F}$ there is $(x_i) \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $F = (m_1, \dots, m_l)$ with $m_i \geq \max(\text{supp } x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Let $x = \sum_{m_i \in F} a_{m_i} x_i$. Since $(x_i) \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $\|x\| \geq 1/K$.

Take now any η -admissible sequence $E_1 < \dots < E_k$. Put

$$J = \{j \in \{1, \dots, k\} : \min E_j \in \overline{\text{supp}} x_{i_j} \text{ for some } i_j\}.$$

Let $I = \{i_j : j \in J\}$ and split the sum of the norms as follows

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \|E_j x\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|E_j \sum_{i \in I} a_{m_i} x_i\| + \sum_{j=1}^k \|E_j \sum_{i \notin I} a_{m_i} x_i\|$$

In order to estimate the first part of the sum notice that $G = \{m_{i_j} : j \in J\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_\eta$ since $(\min E_j)_{j=1}^k \in \mathcal{S}_\eta$ and $m_{i_j} \geq \min E_j$ for any $j \in J$. Hence by ℓ_1^η -asymptoticity of U and the choice of scalars (a_m) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \|E_j \sum_{i \in I} a_{m_i} x_i\| \leq C_2 \left\| \sum_{i \in I} a_{m_i} x_i \right\| \leq C_2 \sum_{j \in J} a_{m_{i_j}} \|x_{i_j}\| = C_2 \sum_{m \in G} a_m \leq 1$$

On the other hand notice that for any $i \notin I$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$ we have $\min E_j < \min(\text{supp } x_i)$ whenever $\overline{\text{supp}} x_i \cap E_j \neq \emptyset$. Therefore for sets $J_i = \{j : E_j \cap \overline{\text{supp}} x_i \neq \emptyset\}$, $i \notin I$, we have $\#J_i < \min(\text{supp } x_i)$. Hence for any $i \notin I$ the sequence $(E_j \cap \overline{\text{supp}} x_i)_{j \in J_i}$ is 1-admissible and thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \|E_j \sum_{i \notin I} a_{m_i} x_i\| \leq \sum_{i \notin I} a_{m_i} \sum_{j \in J_i} \|E_j x_i\| \leq \sum_{i \notin I} a_{m_i} C_1 \|x_i\| \leq C_1$$

Putting those estimates together we obtain $\sum_{j=1}^k \|E_j x\| \leq 2C_1$ which ends the proof of Lemma.

Now we return to the proof of the second inductive step. Take a block subspace Z of W such that $|y|_n / \|y\| \leq D|z|_n / \|z\|$ for any non-zero y, z in Z_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $I_b(Z) > \omega^\alpha$, then by Lemma 5.8, [10], $I_b(Z_n, K) \geq \omega^\alpha$ for some $K \geq 1$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can use Lemma 2.8 for $U = Z_n$, $\eta = \alpha_n$, $\xi = \alpha_{n+1}$ getting a vector $x \in Z_n$ with $1/K \leq \|x\|$ and $|x|_n \leq 2C_1$. Therefore, by the choice of Z , $|y|_n \leq 2C_1 K D \|y\|$ for any $y \in Z_n$. Hence, by the definition of the norms $|\cdot|_n$,

$$\|E_1 y\| + \dots + \|E_k y\| \leq 2C_1 K D \|y\|, \quad y \in Z$$

for any α_n -admissible sequence $n \leq E_1 < \dots < E_k$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. for any α -admissible sequence $E_1 < \dots < E_k$, which shows that Z is ℓ_1^α -asymptotic.

The second part of the Theorem can be proved in the same way, replacing α -admissible sequences by α -allowable sequences. The first inductive step is provided by the assumptions on the space, the second inductive step follows analogously since Lemma remains true if one changes "asymptotic" to "strongly asymptotic" and "admissible sequence" to "allowable sequence". Indeed in the proof we were working only on $(\min E_j)$, the fact that E_j 's are successive was used only when applying suitable asymptoticity of the space.

Remark 2.9. As it was mentioned before, the behavior of the norms applied in the proof was used previously in the study of arbitrary distortable spaces:

- (i) Norms $(\|\cdot\|_n)_n$ used in the first step of the proof of Theorem 2.1 were used in the proof of arbitrary distortion of the Schlumprecht space [20]. It is known that such norms give $(2-\varepsilon)$ -distortion of Tsirelson space $T[\mathcal{S}_1, 1/2]$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.
- (ii) In [18] it was proved that in case of Tsirelson space $T = T(\mathcal{S}_1, 1/2)$ the norms $(\|\cdot\|_n)_n$ given by ℓ_1^n -asymptoticity of T do not arbitrarily distort T ([18], Thm 2.1, Prop. 1.1). Recall that the block ℓ_1 -Bourgain index of any infinite dimensional subspace of T is ω^ω .
- (iii) In case of the mixed and modified mixed Tsirelson spaces $T[(\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_n}, \theta_n)_n]$ and $T_M[(\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_n}, \theta_n)_n]$ studied in [3, 4, 14], the norms $(\|\cdot\|_{\alpha_n})_n$ distort the whole spaces under certain conditions on $(\alpha_n, \theta_n)_n$. In [3, 4] the special convex combinations, which we used in our proof, are applied to produce an asymptotic biorthogonal system.

3. THE c_0 CASE

We can formulate in a obvious way analogous definitions of the c_0 -block index, denoted here by $J_b(X)$, c_0^α -spreading models and c_0^α -asymptotic spaces obtaining different measures of "proximity" of a Banach space to c_0 . The notion of c_0 -block index was investigated in particular in [10], in [8] higher order c_0 -spreading models were used in construction of a strictly singular operator on reflexive ℓ_1 -asymptotic HI space.

We will sketch here briefly the variant of the reasoning presented in the previous section, proving the Theorem 2.1, and thus in particular Corollary 2.2, in c_0 case.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a Banach space with a basis. Fix $\alpha < \omega_1$. Assume that $J_b(Y) > \omega^\alpha$ for any block subspace Y of X . Then X contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or a c_0^α -asymptotic subspace.*

If, additionally, X is c_0^1 -strongly asymptotic, then X contains either an arbitrary distortable subspace or an c_0^α -strongly asymptotic subspace.

Proof. We shall need suitable norms reflecting c_0 -asymptoticity of a space.

Definition 3.2. Let U be a Banach space with a basis. Fix $\alpha < \omega_1$ and assume U is c_0^α -asymptotic with constant C . The associated norm $|\cdot|_\alpha$ is given by $|x|_\alpha = \sup\{|\phi(x)| : \phi \in U^*, |\phi|_\alpha^* \leq 1\}$, $x \in U$, where

$$|\phi|_\alpha^* = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \|\phi|_{E_j U}\|^* : E_1 < \cdots < E_k \text{ } \alpha\text{-admissible} \right\}, \phi \in U^*$$

As before, if U is c_0^α -strongly asymptotic, in the definition of associated norm we use allowable sequences instead of admissible ones.

Remark 3.3. Clearly $\|\cdot\|^* \leq |\cdot|_\alpha^* \leq C\|\cdot\|^*$ and $|x|_\alpha \leq \max\{\|E_j x\| : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ for any $x \in U$ and α -admissible $E_1 < \cdots < E_k$.

As before, we will restrict the consideration to the case where X has a block subspace Y with D -bounded distortion.

FIRST INDUCTIVE STEP

Define on Y^* new equivalent norms as follows:

$$\|\phi\|_n^* = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \|\phi|_{E_j Y}\|^* : E_1 < \cdots < E_n \text{ intervals} \right\}, \phi \in Y^*, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let $\|y\|_n = \sup\{|\phi(y)| : \phi \in Y^*, \|\phi\|_n^* \leq 1\}$, $y \in Y$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let U be a Banach space with a bimonotone basis. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume $J_b(U) > \omega$. Then there is a vector $x \in U$ with $1/2 \leq \|x\|_n \leq \|x\| \leq 2$.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Take a normalized block sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^{n^2} \subset U$ which is 2-equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 space of dimension n^2 and put $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} x_i$. Obviously $\|x\| \leq 2$. Since the basis is bimonotone we can take normalized functionals $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^{n^2} \subset U^*$ with $\phi_i(x_i) = 1$, $\phi_i(y) = 0$ for any $y \in U$ with $\text{supp } y \cap \overline{\text{supp } x_i} = \emptyset$, $1 \leq i \leq n^2$. Put $\phi = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \phi_i$. Since $\phi(x) = 1$ it is enough to show that $\|\phi\|_n^* \leq 2$. Take any $E_1 < \cdots < E_n$, define the set I exactly as in the proof of Lemma 2.7 and proceed computing norms of $\phi_i|_{E_j U}$ instead of $E_j x_i$. The second estimate follows from the fact that by the choice of (ϕ_i) for any $i \notin I$ there is at most one $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $\phi_i|_{E_j U} \neq 0$.

Coming back to the proof of the first inductive step take a block subspace Z of Y such that $\|y\|_n/\|y\| \leq D\|z\|_n/\|z\|$ for any non-zero $y, z \in Z_n$ and

any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take $x \in Z_n$ as in the Lemma 3.4 and get $4D\|y\|_n \geq \|y\|$ for any $y \in Z_n$. It follows that

$$\|y\| \leq 4D \max_{j=1, \dots, n} \|E_j y\|$$

for any $y \in Y$ and $n \leq E_1 < \dots < E_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which shows that Z is c_0^1 -asymptotic.

SECOND INDUCTIVE STEP

Take $1 < \alpha < \omega_1$ and assume that the theorem holds true for all $\xi < \alpha$. If $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some $\beta < \omega_1$ then by inductive hypothesis there is a block subspace W of Y which is c_0^β -asymptotic, and hence also c_0^α -asymptotic.

If α is a limit ordinal take $(\alpha_n)_n$ with $\alpha_n \nearrow \alpha$ used in the definition of $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$. By the inductive hypothesis we can pick a subspace W of Y such that W is $c_0^{\alpha_n}$ -asymptotic with some constant $C_n \geq 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $|\cdot|_n$ and $|\cdot|_n^*$ denote the norms on spaces W and W^* given by the $c_0^{\alpha_n}$ -asymptoticity of W . We need the following analogon of Lemma 2.8:

Lemma 3.5. *Let U be a Banach space with a bimonotone basis. Fix ordinals $\eta < \xi < \omega_1$ and assume that U is c_0^1 -asymptotic with a constant C_1 , c_0^η -asymptotic and $J_b(U, K) > \omega^\xi$ for some $K \geq 1$. Then there is a vector $x \in U$ with $1/2C_1 \leq |x|_\eta \leq \|x\| \leq K$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Let U be c_0^η -asymptotic with constant C_2 . Proceed as in the proof of Lemma 2.8, obtaining normalized block sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^l$ in a c_0 - K -block tree, a set $F = (m_1, \dots, m_l) \in \mathcal{S}_\xi$ with $m_i \geq \max(\text{supp } x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ and suitable positive scalars $(a_m)_{m \in F}$.

Pick normalized functionals $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^l \subset U^*$ with $\phi_i(x_i) = 1$, $\phi_i(y) = 0$ for any $y \in U$ with $\text{supp } y \cap \overline{\text{supp } x_i} = \emptyset$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. Put $x = \sum_{i=1}^l x_i$ and $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^l a_{m_i} \phi_i$. Then $\|x\| \leq K$. Since $\phi(x) = 1$ it is enough to show that $|\phi|_\eta^* \leq 2C_1$.

Take any η -admissible sequence $E_1 < \dots < E_k$, define the sets J , I and J_i , for $i \notin I$, exactly as in the proof of Lemma 2.8 and proceed computing norms of $\phi_i|_{E_j U}$ instead of $E_j x_i$. To estimate $\sum_{j=1}^k \|(\sum_{i \in I} a_{m_i} \phi_i)|_{E_j U}\|^*$ use Remark 3.3. To estimate $\sum_{j=1}^k \|(\sum_{i \notin I} a_{m_i} \phi_i)|_{E_j U}\|^*$ use Remark 3.3 and the fact, that $\phi_i|_{E_j U} \equiv 0$ whenever $j \notin J_i$.

Now we return to the proof of the second inductive step. Take a block subspace Z of W such that $|y|_n/\|y\| \leq D|z|_n/\|z\|$ for any non-zero $y, z \in Z_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $J_b(Z) > \omega^\alpha$, then $J_b(Z_n, K) \geq \omega^\alpha$ for some $K \geq 1$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (it is easy to check that Lemma 5.8 [10] is valid also in c_0 case). Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and use Lemma 3.5 for Z_n , α_n , α_{n+1} getting $x \in Z_n$ with $1/2C_1 \leq |x|_n \leq$

$\|x\| \leq K$. It follows that $\|y\| \leq 2C_1KD|y|_n$ for any $y \in Z_n$ and thus

$$\|y\| \leq 2C_1KD \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \|E_j y\|$$

for any $y \in Y$ and α -admissible $E_1 < \dots < E_k$, hence Z is c_0^α -asymptotic.

The part for c_0^α -strongly asymptotic spaces follows easily as in the ℓ_1 case. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alspach and A. Argyros, *Complexity of weakly null sequences*, Diss. Math. 321 (1992), 1–44.
- [2] G. Androulakis and E. Odell, *Distorting mixed Tsirelson spaces*, Israel J. Math. 109 (1999), 125–149.
- [3] S. Argyros and I. Deliyanni, *Examples of asymptotic ℓ_1 Banach Spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 973–995.
- [4] S. Argyros, I. Deliyanni and A. Manoussakis, *Distortion and spreading models in modified mixed Tsirelson spaces*, Studia Math. 157 (3) (2003), 199–236.
- [5] B. Bourgain, *On convergent sequences of continuous functions*, Bull. Soc. Math. Bel. 32 (1980), 235–249.
- [6] S. J. Dilworth, V. Ferenczi, D. Kutzarova and E. Odell, *On strongly asymptotic ℓ_p spaces and minimality*, J. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [7] I. Gasparis, *A dichotomy theorem for subsets of the power set of the natural numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 759–833.
- [8] I. Gasparis, *Strictly singular non-compact operators on hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (4) (2002), 1181–1189.
- [9] I. Gasparis, *A continuum of totally incomparable Hereditarily Indecomposable Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 151 (3) (2002), 277–298.
- [10] R. Judd and E. Odell, *Concerning Bourgain’s ℓ_1 -index of a Banach space*, Israel J. Math. 108 (1998), 145–171.
- [11] P. Kiriakouli and S. Negrepontis, *Baire-1 functions and spreading models of ℓ_1* , preprint.
- [12] D. Kutzarova, D. Leung, A. Manoussakis and W.-K. Tang, *Minimality properties of Tsirelson type spaces*, preprint arXiv FA/0702210v1
- [13] D. Leung and W.-K. Tang, *The Bourgain ℓ_1 -index of mixed Tsirelson spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 199 (2003), 301–331.
- [14] D. Leung and W.-K. Tang, *ℓ_1 -spreading models in subspaces of mixed Tsirelson spaces*, Studia Math. 172 (2006), 47–68.
- [15] A. Manoussakis, *Some remarks on spreading models and mixed Tsirelson spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2002), 2515–2525.
- [16] B. Maurey, *A Remark about Distortion*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 77, 131–147.
- [17] V. Milman and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Asymptotic ℓ_p spaces and bounded distortion*, Banach spaces (ed. W. Johnson, B.-L. Lin), Proc. of Merida Workshop, January 1992, Comtemp. Math. 144 (1993), 173–195.
- [18] E. Odell and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *On certain equivalent norms on Tsirelson’s space*, Illinois J. Math. 44 (2000), 51–71.
- [19] E. Odell, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann and R. Wagner, *Proximity to ℓ_1 and Distortion in Asymptotic ℓ_1 Spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 150 (1997), 101–145.

- [20] T. Schlumprecht, *An arbitrary distortable Banach space*, Israel J. Math. 76 (1991), 81–95.

Institute of Mathematics
Jagiellonian University
Reymonta 4, 30-059 Krakow, Poland
E-mail: anna.pelczar@im.uj.edu.pl